From: Kathryn Huhn [mailto:krazyhuhn@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, May 23, 2018 9:10 AM

To: Kevin Liburdy

Subject: Thank you + follow up

Hi Kevin,

Nice job dissecting the information for us on the WACP presentation; I appreciated the engagement level you helped facilitate. Thank you also for taking the time to chat before the planning meeting this week regarding the need for more timely and thorough communications out to the HR community. Timely meeting notes, and specifics beyond "continue from March meeting" on the agenda is appreciated (what was discussed, and what will be discussed next at a minimum).

I want to share my disappointment in the response given to two things in particular:

- 1. The grounding of the plan in old growth population rate, and the lack of guarantee of affordable housing TO HR WORKING RESIDENCE. The level of density is a major concern for me, especially having just moved from the East side to the West closely bordering the development area (28th & Montello). Regardless of east or west; this will strongly affect the overall livability of HR.
- 2. **Affordable housing:** While Dustin said he wasn't saying "affordable" anymore, that was a primary goal of the WACP to begin with. This just moves everything to 2nd homes vs primary residence. Affordable to HR working residents is the key, not growing the number of secondary homes.

The HNA's own statistics show Hood River is less cost-burdened in housing than the county; the state; and the nation -- so why go significantly above and beyond the adjusted growth rate? How can we discourage second homes -- special fees and taxes? the inability to STR a home if you aren't also a primary resident of HR? (I believe STRs without limitations SHOULD be allowed if the home is owned by a HR permanent resident).

Please LMK how I can help find a solution, and help build the right plan to accomplish the stated goals. See you on July 16 at the next meeting.

Thank you! Kathryn Huhn